The Handle of the Hall of the Handle of the

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Joseph P. Lally

Austin, TX 18768-4749 P.O. Box 684749

FEF 94 '011

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

The

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

LENOVO (US) IP LAW 1009 THINK PLACE BUILDING ONE, 4TH FLOOR 4B6 MORRISVILLE NC 27560

MAILED
JAN 3 1 2011
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Azuma et al.

FEB 2 5 2011

Application No. 10/735,332

Filed: December 12, 2003

Attorney Docket No. JP920030049US1

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed November 6, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

## The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed, April 4, 2006, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on July 5, 2006. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed October 30, 2006.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of an Amendment, (2) the petition fee of \$1,500.00, and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay.

Further, it is not apparent whether the statement of unintentional delay was signed by a person who would have been in a position of knowing that the **entire** delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Nevertheless, in accordance with 37 CFR 10.18, the statement is accepted as constituting a certification of unintentional delay. However, in the event that petitioner has no knowledge that the delay was unintentional, petitioner must make such an inquiry to ascertain that, in fact, the delay was unintentional. If petitioner discovers that the delay was intentional, petitioner must notify the Office. Additionally, it is not apparent whether the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute this patent application. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature appearing on the petition

shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he/she is authorized to represent the particular party in whose behalf he/she acts.

Further, the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address given on the petition; however, the Office will mail all future correspondence solely to the address of record.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-7751.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 2115 for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the reply received.

Joan Olszewski

Petitions Examiner

foan Olynha-

Office of Petitions

cc:

Joseph P. Lally PO Box 684749

Austin, TX 78768-4749